

LOYOLA UNIVERSITY CHICAGO RESPIRATORY PROTECTION PROGRAM EVALUATION CHECKLIST

- 1. Y N Is there a written policy which acknowledges employer responsibility for providing a safe and healthful workplace?
- 2. Y N Are the responsibilities of all departments or persons that are covered by or have a specific function(s) under this plan clearly defined in the plan?
- 3. Y N Has a suitably trained individual been designated as the respiratory protection program administrator with overall responsibility for development and implementation of the respiratory protection program?
- 4. Y N Does the written respiratory protection program include the following required elements (Items 3-11) as applicable, as specified in 29 CFR 1910.134(c) and 29 CFR 1910.134(c)(1)?
- 5. Y N Written designation of a program administrator.
- 6. Y N Procedures for medical evaluations of employees required to use respirators.
- 7. Y N Fit testing procedures for tight-fitting respirators.
- 8. Y N Procedures for proper use of respirators in routine and reasonably foreseeable emergency situations.
- 9. Y N Procedures for cleaning, disinfecting, storing, inspecting, repairing, discarding and otherwise maintaining respirators.
- 10. Y N Procedures to ensure adequate air quality, quantity, and flow of breathing air for atmosphere-supplying respirators.
- 11.Y N Training of employees in the respiratory hazards to which they are potentially exposed during routine and emergency situations.
- 12. Y N Training of employees in the proper use of respirators, including putting on and removing them, any limitations on their use, and their maintenance.
- 13. Y N Procedures for regularly evaluating the effectiveness of the program
- 14. Y N Procedures for an evaluation of hazards within work areas requiring the use of respiratory protection.
- 15. Y N Procedure for selection of appropriate respirators for specific job classifications and/or tasks.
- 16. Y N Procedures for training employees regarding the elements of the respiratory protection program.
- 17. Y N Information detailing prohibited conditions in which certain types of respiratory protection is not allowed.



LOYOLA UNIVERSITY CHICAGO RESPIRATORY PROTECTION PROGRAM EVALUATION CHECKLIST

- 18. Y N information detailing the signs of respirator failure.
- 19. Y N A description of the training curriculum.
- 20. Y N Procedures for voluntary use of respirators.
- 21. Y N Is the written program readily available to any employee covered by the program?
- 22. Y N Is there a record of medical clearance for each employee required to wear a respirator?
- 23. Y N Is there a record of a fit test for each respirator user from within the last year?
- 24. Y N Are employees prohibited from wearing respirators with a tight-fitting facepiece if they have facial hair or any other characteristics which may cause face seal leakage?
- 25. Y N Are employees prohibited from wearing contact lenses while wearing any respirator?
- 26. Y N Has the use of eyeglasses or any other type of eye protection that interferes with the sealing edge of the respirator been prohibited?
- 27. Y N Are Supervisors scheduling and documenting monthly inspections of respirators to ensure that they are in good working condition and that proper work practices are being followed?
- 28. Y N Are Supervisors conducting and documenting at least 2 unscheduled inspections annually of respirators to ensure that they are in good working condition and that proper work practices are being followed?
- 29. Y N Are respirators stored appropriately to prevent them from becoming damaged or deformed?
- 30. Y N Are the users wearing the respirator for which they have passed a fit test?
- 31. Y N Are respirators inspected by the users before and after each use?
- 32. Y N Are respirators being donned and doffed correctly?
- 33. Y N Are reusable respirators cleaned and disinfected as often as necessary?
- 34. Y N Is there a mechanism for users to report problems with respirator use?
- 35. Y N Are all the appropriate records being maintained as required by the plan?
- 36. Y N Is there a mechanism for users to provide feedback about the effectiveness of the program?